SPRING TIME

Thank you to our hosts, Clive Marsh and Heather North, and our guest speaker, John McKendry, for sharing with us their invaluable knowledge and thoughts at our Orchard Management Field Day held last month.

For those of you who were unable to attend the recent field day, or like myself a tad slow at note taking, Clive and Heather have kindly provided the following article on Walnut Blight Management. I am sure you will find this extremely informative given our wet start to spring!

WALNUT BLIGHT MANAGEMENT

Field Day follow-up Article by Clive Marsh & Heather North – Lightfoot Walnuts

Now is the time of year for growers to start our spray programmes to manage walnut blight: it is important to start at budburst for each variety otherwise blight can get away on us.

NZWIG studied blight management from 2001 to 2007 in collaboration with Lincoln University, HortResearch, horticulture experts and walnut growers from Tasmania. The key findings were that unsprayed trees (of susceptible varieties like Meyric) could lose over 50% of their crop to blight, compared to only 10% loss with best practice spraying – unless the season is abnormally dry. Early October to mid-December is the critical period. You need to be ahead of the game with your spraying – if you wait until symptoms are seen or even until rain has happened then spraying can’t recover the losses that will have occurred. The research project found that Mankocide was the most effective spray type. The active ingredients in Mankocide are Copper Hydroxide and Mancozeb (which belongs to the dithiocarbamate class of compounds). Any spray programmes relying on sprays that do not include copper were found to be totally ineffective. Spray programmes that relied on copper alone (no dithiocarbamate) – including all that are permitted for certified organics – had some effectiveness but were inferior to Mankocide. Spraying should start at bud-burst and can stop by Christmas. Trees should be sprayed to the point of run-off.

So to 2016: in the past two years we had fairly dry blight seasons (early October to mid-December period) when blight has not been a major issue and growers who did not spray will not have lost very much and those that did may think they wasted money. However, we should remind ourselves of the big picture and be prepared for spraying as there is no reason to suspect this season will be so ‘kind’.

For our orchard, we developed a spray program based on the findings from the NZWIG research project. The only difference is that we have substituted a mix of Blue Shield (a Copper Hydroxide product) and Dithane Rainshield (a mancozeb product) rather than using Mankocide. Our mix has the same active ingredients but it is half the cost to use the two components compared to Mankocide. So our spray
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mix is 200g Blue Shield + 67g Dithane Rainshield per 100 litres of water (this is equivalent to 333g Mankocide per 100 litres).

We apply the first spray when about 50% of the terminal buds are open (can just see juvenile leaves protruding). The date when this happens is different for different cultivars – typically 21 September for Serr and Dublin’s Glory, 28 September for Meyric and 6 October for Rex. During the budburst period we then spray every 7 to 10 days depending on the weather. In the past three seasons we have put on three budburst sprays for the Meyric and two for the Rex.

For our in-season sprays, from about the start of November (once the trees are in full leaf), we try to work to the weather. If we see rain coming, we’ll try to spray before it arrives, and after rain we’ll shorten the return time. We also react to temperature – if it is cold then blight development is slow and our between-spray-interval can be longer than if it is warm. The worst conditions for blight are warm rain which we can sometimes get from low pressure systems off the East coast of the North Island. In the last three years our in-season sprays have been two for Rex and three for Meyric. However in a warm humid year we would spray more often, with a shorter interval it is warm and wet, and longer if it is dry and cold.

At bud burst we run our air blast sprayer with just a few nozzles open, and for later sprays we open more nozzles to achieve full coverage of the leaves and developing walnuts.

> For growers wanting more advice on blight management. John McKendry 022 094 2618 offers a commercial advisory service.

---

**CERTIFIED ORGANIC OR CONVENTIONAL WALNUTS**

*Article by John McKendry*

John McKendry is an agronomist and the owner of AgNutri Consulting based in Canterbury. John has over 20 years experience in the horticulture industry, including more than 10 years in the horticulture service industry advising growers and assisting them with selecting and applying inputs.

This article is written with the intention of stimulating discussion around the pros and cons of conventional vs organic production systems for walnuts. The following thoughts and opinions are based on my almost 30 years of experience working in the horticultural industry. I have outlined what I believe are four key areas to consider when contemplating which production system will provide the best fit with your business plan. These key areas follow in what I believe to be order of priority or importance.

**(1) Market**

The purpose of any business is to be profitable. The nature of walnut production suggests that this should be a long term strategy. The first component of profitability would be knowing your cost of production – if there is a premium return from certified organic produce, is this enough to offset any increase in cost of production? A consistent market with steady growth potential is also an important requirement. Will the product be marketed locally or overseas? Currently there is strong growth in demand for organic produce in Europe and major cities in the USA. This is very much population driven – does New Zealand have a big enough population to sustain growth in demand for organic product, if you choose to supply the local market? Past trends suggest that in New Zealand our production can increase to meet demand quite quickly, with a consequent rapid erosion of return. If exporting, one potential pitfall is, can your supply meet demand and keep up with market growth? Another is that large overseas markets will sometimes use production standards as a type of trade barrier. An example of this is the attempt to exclude the use of tanalised posts in viticulture. This move would have kept New Zealand organic wine out of the USA market unless we removed timber posts and replaced them with steel posts, as they use in the States. As a small producer in a small country a long way from market, we do not have much lobbying power. On the positive side good branding with a great story to tell is still “King” of marketing strategies for food products, organic certification could be a very positive part of this and there is no doubt that differentiating your product in a positive way will secure good long term sales and growth. I believe that
Certified Organic or Conventional
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two of the greatest strengths you have
are, control of your own marketing and
your own processing operation. The
latter giving you the opportunity to
“value add” where appropriate or
“tailor” your product for a specific
target market.

(2) Suitability

The consideration here is land
suitability. I suggest that the very first
thing to be done is a soil Multi Residue Test. If residue of agrichemical is
found above a specific level, your
organic certifier may require you to
have extra transition time (with
retesting) or in very rare cases deem
the property unsuitable for organic
production. This test is able to be
combined with a nutrient soil test, I
recommend fairly comprehensive
testing here. It is much easier to
correct known deficiencies prior to
organic certification - and a lot
cheaper. It is wise to take this
opportunity to balance and optimise
soil nutrients, if it fits your financial
budgeting.

Finally ensure that you are able to
adequately manage any growing
problems on your property adequately.
If you are in an area subject to frost
loses or pest and disease pressure, you
need to carefully consider if you are
able to continue to mitigate these in a
certified organic system. The bottom
line I guess is that if all else fails you can
easily go back to conventional
production in an emergency.

(3) Products

Internationally there are a huge number
of organic certified products for use in
organic systems, and certification
standards are becoming much more
unified across the globe. Unfortunately
for New Zealand though, being such a
small market, even for conventional
products, registration and certification
is very limited. There are however a
few key products we can use to “get
by” for producing walnuts organically
in New Zealand. Nitrogen has always
been the biggest limitation for organics,
but we do now have some options in
New Zealand for certified nitrogen
inputs. A comparison of costs suggests
that basic solid fertiliser products are
approximately 1.5x the cost of
conventional when organically
certified. More specialized solids – 2x
the cost, and foliars and bio-stimulants
are available at an overall similar cost.
I can see that composting could be a
key component in organic systems, to
be sustainable and to help manage input
costs. It would however require a
substantial amount of time and some
extra machinery.

(4) Productivity

The big question I see, relating to the
actual growing of walnuts in New
Zealand – Conventional vs Organic is:

“If organic certified product attracts a
premium return after any increased
production costs – will this also offset the
anticipated potential loss of yield?”

Parts of New Zealand, in my
experience, are some of the more
challenging environments in the world
to grow horticultural crops – they are
unique. One aspect of organic
production that may prove difficult, is
the ability to “steer” the trees through
production robbing scenarios like frost,
hot dry summers and our “hot day –
cold wind - temperature rollercoaster”
examples. In recent years we have had
pretty good growing conditions with
little pressure from frost, pest or
disease. This may not always be the
case. The other potential production
robbor could be enforcement of the
organic certifier’s “Reducing Input”
policy. You will get permission to use
“restricted” inputs for one or two
seasons, but after this you will be
expected to reduce or eliminate your
reliance on the input. A serious
potential problem for items like boron
or nitrogen, blight sprays etc. At this
early stage I think we have only started
to explore ways to improve
productivity in the conventional
system. You could therefore, say there
is maybe not much to lose by going to
organic production, conversely there
may be a lot to gain by staying
conventional. I still believe that either
way you get out what you put in. Do
not dismiss the other options available,
for example a “certified zero residue”
product. This would give you “the best
of both worlds” if you can use in-house
marketing to its best advantage.

I expect this article stimulates some
healthy discussion and research. I also
hope my collection of thoughts gives
you some better understanding of a few
of the practical issues around this
choice between different production
systems. I look forward to working
alongside your industry whichever
choice you make. I am sure you will
have some questions and I am more
than happy to answer them.
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